

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD**

**ISLAND ARCHITECTURAL WOODWORK, INC.;
VERDE**

and

Case 29-CA-124027

**NORTHEAST REGIONAL COUNCIL OF
CARPENTERS, LOCAL #252**

ORDER¹

The petition to revoke subpoena duces tecum B-1-HNR2V1, filed by Island Architecture Woodwork, Inc., and the petition to revoke subpoena duces tecum B-1-HNPS3Z filed by Verde, are denied. The subpoenas seek information relevant to the matters under investigation and describe with sufficient particularity the evidence sought, as required by Section 11(1) of the Act and Section 102.31(b) of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Further, the Petitioners have failed to establish any other legal basis for revoking the subpoenas.² See generally *NLRB v. North Bay Plumbing, Inc.* 102 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 1996); *NLRB v. Carolina Food Processors, Inc.*, 81 F.3d 507

¹ The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

² To the extent that the Employers have provided some of the requested material, they are not required to produce that information again, provided that the Employers accurately describe which documents under subpoena they have already provided, state whether those previously-supplied documents constitute all of the documents, and provide all of the information that was subpoenaed.

(4th Cir. 1996).³

Dated, Washington, D.C., August 6, 2014.

MARK GASTON PEARCE,	CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. MISCIMARRA.,	MEMBER
KENT Y. HIROZAWA,	MEMBER

³ With respect to the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine and confidentiality issues raised by the Employers, we observe that the subpoenas do not facially seek any documents protected by privilege. However, to the extent that the subpoenas encompass some documents that the Employers believe in good faith to be protected from disclosure, the Employers may submit privilege logs providing sufficient details to permit an assessment by the Region of the Employers' claims. Accordingly, there are no grounds for revocation of the subpoenas in this regard.